



## Complete Summary

---

### GUIDELINE TITLE

Surgical repair of incisional hernias.

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT). Surgical repair of incisional hernias. Manchester (MA): Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT); 2003 Feb. 3 p.

### GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

## COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT

CATEGORIES

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

DISCLAIMER

## SCOPE

### DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Incisional hernias

### GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Diagnosis

Treatment

### CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Family Practice

Gastroenterology

Internal Medicine

Surgery

## INTENDED USERS

Physicians

## GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

To guide primary care physicians to the appropriate utilization of surgical procedures on the alimentary tract or related organs

## TARGET POPULATION

Patients with incisional hernias

## INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Diagnosis

1. Assessment of symptoms (e.g., sharp pain, peritoneal signs)
2. Physical examination (presence of visible bulge or palpable fascial edges)

Treatment (Surgical Repair)

1. Primary tissue approximation
2. Use of prosthetic materials
3. Laparoscopic techniques
4. Limitation of patient activity

## MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Repair of incisional hernias
- Risk of recurrence after surgical repair

## METHODOLOGY

### METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

### DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

### NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

### METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not applicable

#### METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not applicable

#### METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

#### DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT) guidelines are based on statements and recommendations that were overwhelmingly supported by clinical evidence. Each represents a consensus of opinion and is considered a reasonable plan for a specific clinical condition.

(See companion document Gadacz TR, Traverso LW, Fried GM, Stabile B, Levine BA. Practice guidelines for patients with gastrointestinal surgical diseases. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2:483-484.)

#### RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

#### COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

#### METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Internal Peer Review

#### DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

The guidelines were reviewed by several committee members and then by the entire committee on several occasions. Each guideline was then sent back to the original author for final comment and reviewed again by the committee. Each guideline was approved by the Board of Trustees of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract and final comments were reviewed by the committee.

(See companion document Gadacz TR, Traverso LW, Fried GM, Stabile B, Levine BA. Practice guidelines for patients with gastrointestinal surgical diseases. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2:483-484.)

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Symptoms and Diagnosis

Incisional hernias can present in a variety of different ways, but the most frequent complaint is pain. The pain is usually located over the abdominal wall defect and is greatest at the fascial margins. It is usually dull in nature and typically does not radiate. Straining maneuvers may exacerbate symptoms or demonstrate a previously unnoticed defect. Patients may describe changes in bowel habits that can result from incarceration of abdominal viscera. The presence of an irreducible hernia should prompt surgical referral. Sharp pain or peritoneal signs suggest the possible diagnosis of strangulation with tissue necrosis; urgent surgical referral is necessary.

The diagnosis is made by physical examination. Findings may include a visible bulge or palpable fascial edges. The size and number of fascial defects are often difficult to determine preoperatively. Usually, the clinical exam represents the "tip of the iceberg"; additional fascial defects not appreciated preoperatively are often identified at surgery. A palpable mass in a suspected incisional hernia should not be aspirated since this mass may contain bowel.

#### Treatment

There are many ways to surgically repair incisional hernias. Smaller incisional hernias (< 3 cm.) can be repaired with primary tissue approximation. Repair of larger defects generally requires the use of prosthetic materials, which allows for a tension free repair. Laparoscopic techniques may be used for repair of incisional hernias in selected patients. Potential benefits of laparoscopy include good visualization of all fascial defects, and smaller incisions with less pain and quicker recovery.

#### Qualifications for Performing Incisional Hernia Repairs

Surgeons who are certified or eligible for certification by the American Board of Surgery, the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, or their equivalent should perform both elective and emergent incisional hernia repair. These surgeons have completed at least five years of surgical training after medical school graduation and are qualified to perform open incisional hernia repair with and without tension-free techniques. The level of training in advanced laparoscopic techniques necessary to conduct minimally invasive incisional herniorrhaphy has not been formally determined but surgeons with advanced laparoscopic experience are qualified to perform this procedure.

### CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

## EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is not specifically stated for each recommendation.

## BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

### POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Prompt repair of incisional hernias will reduce symptoms (e.g., pain), prevent enlargement of the incisional hernia, and avoid incarceration and strangulation of bowel.
- Potential benefits of laparoscopy include good visualization of all fascial defects, and smaller incisions with less pain and quicker recovery.

### POTENTIAL HARMS

- The risks of incisional hernia repair include: seroma, wound infection, injury to intra-abdominal structures, and recurrent hernia.
- The risk of recurrence increases dramatically in patients who have had previous failed repairs, in patients with very large hernias, and in cases where one or more margins of the hernia defect is bone or cartilage.
- Major complications such as a mesh infection or enterocutaneous fistula may result in prolonged morbidity and require reoperation.

## QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

### QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

These guidelines have been written by the Patient Care Committee of the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT). Their goal is to guide primary care physicians to the appropriate utilization of surgical procedures on the alimentary tract or related organs and they are based on critical review of the literature and expert opinion. Both of the latter sources of information result in a consensus that is recorded in the form of these Guidelines. The consensus addresses the range of acceptable clinical practice and should not be construed as a standard of care. These Guidelines require periodic revision to ensure that clinicians utilize procedures appropriately, but the reader must realize that clinical judgment may justify a course of action outside of the recommendations contained herein.

## IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

### DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

## INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

### IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

### IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

## IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT). Surgical repair of incisional hernias. Manchester (MA): Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract (SSAT); 2003 Feb. 3 p.

### ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

### DATE RELEASED

2003 Feb 1

### GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, Inc - Medical Specialty Society

### SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

Society of Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, Inc.

### GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Patient Care Committee

### COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Not stated

### FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

### GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

#### GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available from the [Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, Inc. Web site](#).

Print copies: Available from the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, Inc., 900 Cummings Center, Suite 221-U, Beverly, MA 01915; Telephone: (978) 927-8330; Fax: (978) 524-0461.

#### AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following is available:

- Gadacz TR, Traverso LW, Fried GM, Stabile B, Levine BA. Practice guidelines for patients with gastrointestinal surgical diseases. J Gastrointest Surg 1998;2: 483-484.

Electronic copies: Not available at this time.

Print copies: Available from the Society for Surgery of the Alimentary Tract, Inc., 900 Cummings Center, Suite 221-U, Beverly, MA 01915; Telephone: (978) 927-8330; Fax: (978) 524-8890.

#### PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

#### NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI on September 17, 2004.

#### COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

For terms governing downloading, use, and reproduction of these guidelines, please contact: [ssat@prri.com](mailto:ssat@prri.com).

#### DISCLAIMER

##### NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2006 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/25/2006



